

# DRAFT REVISED SEDIMENT POLICY

Corrective Action Seminar  
Paul Currier and Lori Siegel  
New Hampshire DES  
March 17, 2003



# Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Questions
- Revisions
- Case Studies
- Contacts and References



# Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Questions
- Revisions
- Case Studies
- Contacts and References



# History and Purpose

- Policy issued 4/9/02 and revised 9/10/02
- A risk-based approach
- Consistent with Env-Ws 1700 (WQ Standards)
- Used to decide if “Aquatic life use” is supported
- Applies to organisms that live in the sediment



# Basic Concepts of Sediment Policy

- Triad approach
- Bioaccumulation risk
- Weigh each component

# Triad Approach

1. Compare chemical results with literature screening values
2. Perform laboratory toxicity bioassays
3. Perform field community structure assessment compared to a reference site



# Bioaccumulation Risk

- Only for contaminants that bioaccumulate
- Mercury, some lipid-soluble organics, e.g., PCBs
- Estimate or measure tissue concentrations in top food chain organism
- Compare to toxicity literature values



# Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Questions
- Revisions
- Case Studies
- Contacts and References





# Questions Regarding Sediment Screening

- What thresholds to use?
  - Upper or lower thresholds
  - Various sources, all peer-reviewed
- If threshold exceeded, then what?
- What if obvious risk, e.g., free product?



# Questions Regarding Sediment Toxicity Bioassays

- Where to collect sediment samples?
- What organisms appropriate?
- Duration of bioassay?
- Skip and go straight to community assessment?



# Questions Regarding Benthic Community Assessment

- How to choose a reference site?
- How to interpret results if upstream is impacted?
- What if community assessment contradicts results of sediment screening and toxicity bioassays?

# Questions Regarding Bioaccumulation Risk

- When is this necessary to assess?
  - Contaminants?
  - Concentrations?

# Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Questions
- **Revisions**
- Case Studies
- Contacts and References



# Objectives

- Provide flexibility for State-wide applicability
- Encourage professional judgment on a site-specific basis
- Address audience not trained in ecological risk assessment



# Revisions Summary

- Specific instructions in risk assessment approach
- Clearer decision-making process
- Weight of evidence
- Integration of bioaccumulation risk potential



# Sediment Screening Revisions

- Step-by-step instructions with flowchart
- Compare to TECs and PECs
- Source(s) to be determined by assessor
- Prioritize locations
- Quantify total organic carbon (TOC) and grain size
- Evaluate contaminant spatial distribution





# Sediment Toxicity Analyses Revisions

- Prioritization sampling
- Organism determination
  - freshwater vs. marine
- Site-specific assessment endpoints
  - 10-day bioassay



# Additional Sediment Toxicity Analyses Revisions

- Substitute alternative analyses only upon prior approval by the NH DES
- Exclude reasons for false negative toxicity
- Reasons to skip to community assessment



# Benthic Community Assessment Revisions

- Reference location - neither impacted by the site nor by other sources



# Bioaccumulation Risk Revisions

- Contaminants with low water solubility and high lipid solubility ( $\text{Log } K_{ow} > 4.2$ )
- Assess bioaccumulation if:
  - PBT and
  - if a TEC is available,  $\text{HQ-TEC} > 1$



# Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Questions
- Revisions
- **Case Studies**
- Contacts and References



# Typical Applications

- Hazardous waste sites, where a regulated release has impacted sediments
- Dam removals
- Aquatic Life Use Support (ALUS) determinations



# Case Study: Hazardous Waste Site

- MGP Site in SW NH
- Sediment chemistry data indicated PAHs posed moderate to high risk
- Toxicity bioassays not possible, so instead conducted pore water toxicity tests → not toxic
- Community assessment indicated no impact
- Fish tissue OK when tested for bioaccumulation of PBTs



# Case Study: Dam Removal Project

- Dam removal project in SW NH
- Sediment chemistry: moderate risk
  - spatially uniform PAH
  - metals at impoundment
- Impacts to downstream locations upon dam removal are not anticipated → further evaluation is not necessary
- Bioaccumulation not an issue





# Case Study: 305b Report

- National Coastal Assessment (NCA)
- Sediment chemistry, sediment toxicity, and community assessment all performed
- Statistical analyses (e.g., PCA) of triad data



# Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Questions
- Revisions
- Case Studies
- **Contacts and References**



# Contact Information

Paul M. Currier, P.E., Administrator  
603-271-3289; pcurrier@des.state.nh.us

Lori S. Siegel, Ph.D., Ecological Risk Assessor  
603-271-0699; lsiegel@des.state.nh.us

Watershed Management Bureau  
NH Department of Environmental Services  
PO Box 95 - 29 Hazen Drive  
Concord, NH 03302-0095  
fax 603-271-7894



# References

- State Water Quality Laws: RSA 485-A  
<http://gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/indexes/485-A.html>
- Env- Ws 1700 Surface Water Quality Regulations  
<http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/env-ws1700.pdf>
- Sediment Policy  
[http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/wqsac/sediment\\_policy.pdf](http://www.des.state.nh.us/wmb/wqsac/sediment_policy.pdf)
- EPA Water Quality Standards handbook  
<http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/standards/handbook/>

